



DEVELOPMENT OF SWEETPOTATO VARIETIES IN BURKINA FASO

Somé K., Belem J. and Garane A.





Accra, 25-26th February 2013

- Sweetpotato production and utilization is getting increase in Burkina
- These last 10 years the production has evolved from 27000 tons in 2000 to 141000 tons in 2011 representing an increase of more than 400%
- Yields are unstable and varied between 6 and 18 t/ha depending on the year



Evolution of sweetpotato production and yield from 2000 to 2011



- Most sweetpotato materials used are farmers varieties
- There are dominated by those with white fleshed
- Are late maturing (4 to 6 months)
- Small storage root size
- However, resistant to most of virus strains and have good storage ability



Farmer's variety in the Eastern region

Production constraints	Frequency	Percentage	Rank
Weevil damages	29	60,4	1
Susceptibility to poor soil	26	54,2	2
Disease occurrences	23	47,9	3
Lack of reliable market	17	35,4	4
Planting materials	12	25	5
Susceptibility to drought	12	25	5
Storage ability	12	25	5
Unadapted Variety	9	18,8	8
Lack of Processing	1	2,1	9
Bad cooking ability	1	2,1	9

Major production constraints ranked according to their importance (2010)

Traits	Frequences	Percentage	Rank
High yield	35	72,9	1
Big storage root	33	68,8	2
Good market appeal	31	64,6	3
Resistant to weevil	23	47,9	4
Early maturing	22	45,8	5
Cooking quality	19	39,6	6
Numerous storage root	15	31,3	7
Skin color	15	31,3	8
Tolerance to drought	11	22,9	9
Non sweet storage root	13	27,1	10
Orange flesh storage root	7	14,6	11
Good taste	7	14,6	11
Hard storage root (high DM)	2	4,2	13
Processing	2	4,2	13
Good presentation (not too big with smooth	2	4,2	13
skin)			
Storage ability	2	4,2	13

Desired traits identified and rankend by farmers

Breeding objectives

To develop high yielding sweetpotato with high beta-carotene content as a contribution to food security and with the potential to alleviate malnutrition in Burkina Faso.

Specifically, to:

- develop high yielding varieties with wide to specific adaptation to the local agro-ecological contexts
- Select varieties with high nutritional values (OFSP, with regard to the DM content)
- Ensure that developed varieties met farmers and consumers expectations

STRATEGIES ADOPTED

- Variety development through controlled crossed of divergent parents
- Seed germination and vine multiplication
- On station evaluations in 2 years and 3 different agroecological zones
- On farm evaluation in collaborative with CRS, HKI and FDC in numerous other zones

Major results

- Parental combinations to obtain better yield, high betacarotene, good dry matter content known
 - ▶ BF59 and BF77 crossed well with Tainung to give good yield
 - BF82 cross well with CIP-199062-1 and TIB-440060 to give F1 with orange flesh

• Promising varieties identified for further evaluation with farmers identified

Genotypes	Root yield	Upgr	B -carotene	Virus2	DM%	Irwgt
	(t/ha)	BiomYield	(mg/100g of			
		(t/ha)	fresh weight)			
BF82xTainung-8	20.33	16.33	0.48	2.33	23.2	179.15
BF82xTainung-20	19.67	17.23	0.78	3.33	21.82	242.68
BF82xCIP-17	18.56	14.78	3.92	1.17	28.45	118.18
BF80xTainung-2	18.11	11.89	2	2.33	19.27	203.89
BF82xTainung-24	17.83	25.89	8.29	1	21.79	136.43
BF92xCIP-6	17.11	17.83	6.44	1.83	26.61	175.9
BF59xCIP-4	16.78	21.56	8.32	1.83	24.81	116.15
BF24xTIB-3	16.17	17.28	7.66	2.67	27.33	116.72
BF59xTIB-6	15.22	11.39	4.36	2.33	21.48	275.94
BF82xCIP-18	15.22	30.11	2.32	1.5	22.81	186.07
BF59xCIP-1	13.56	18.33	8.32	1.17	27.09	110.82
BF82xTIB-4	13.5	10.33	1.03	2.17	30.06	145.36

The Best twelve F_1 genotypes that had significantly higher yield than the best check



BF82xTainung-8 (20.33 t/ha)

BF82xTainung-24 (17.83 t/ha)





BF59xTainung-5 (54.66 t/ha) – White flesh

The four F₁ genotypes that had significantly higher beta-carotene than the best check (BF14 with 8.35)

				0
	caroter	ne		
	(mg/10	0 g		
	fw)			
78 11	10.85	2.67	25.71	105.23
89 12	9.96	1.33	30.86	59.42
56 13.1	9.57	1.67	22.54	101.08
.45 15.	5 9.41	2	31.61	170.54
igher bet-carote	ne genotypes have	small yield		
	eld d 78 11 89 12 56 13.1 .45 15. igher bet-carote	eld d caroter (mg/100 fw) fw) fw) 78 11 89 12 9.96 56 13.17 9.57 .45 15.5 9.41 igher bet-carotene genotypes have	eld d carotene (mg/100 g fw) fw) 78 11 10.85 2.67 89 12 9.96 1.33 56 13.17 9.57 1.67 .45 15.5 9.41 2 igher bet-carotene genotypes have small yield	eld d carotene (mg/100 g fw) 78 11 10.85 2.67 25.71 89 12 9.96 1.33 30.86 56 13.17 9.57 1.67 22.54 .45 15.5 9.41 2 31.61

Genotypes	Root yield	BiomYield	B -carotene	Virus2	DM%	Irwgt
BF82xTainung-11	7.78	13.11	0.87	1.67	32.15	109.6
BF92xTIB-2	10.45	15.5	9.41	2	31.61	170.54
BF82xCIP-13	9.89	12	9.96	1.33	30.86	59.42
BF82xTIB-4	13.5	10.33	1.03	2.17	30.06	145.36
BF82xTIB-9	12.5	15.06	0.63	2.17	29.59	105.81
BF82xCIP-11	11.94	11.67	4.13	1.83	28.89	104.26
BF59xTIB-4	8.33	16.67	7.01	2.17	28.81	104.31
BF82xCIP-17	18.56	14.78	3.92	1.17	28.45	118.18

The eight F₁ genotypes that have dry matter content higher than 28%

Have low yield and , When yield acceptable, beta-carotene content low

Genotypes	Root yield	BiomYield	B- carotene	Virus2	DM%	Irwgt
BF82xCIP-11	11.94	11.67	4.13	1.83	28.89	104.26
BF82xCIP-17	18.56	14.78	3.92	1.17	28.45	118.18

Existing OFSP evaluated with farmers

Well appreciated in the Eastern Region







Well appreciated in the Central and Southern Regions Region









