

Development of fast screening methods to identify drought tolerant sweetpotatoes

R Laurie, SM Laurie & ME
Malebana



INTRODUCTION

- Sweetpotato production is affected by climate variability
- Availability of plant material as well as vine survival are key characteristics for successful varieties in drought prone areas
- Quick screening methods needed to select drought tolerant lines/varieties developed



INTRODUCTION (cont)

- It is important to understand the mechanisms of drought tolerance in sweetpotato
- Progress on screening for drought tolerance has been slow due to the complex nature of drought tolerance in plants
- More rapid progress can be aided by prior knowledge of the physiological basis of traits associated with WUE and drought tolerance

INTRODUCTION (cont)

- ARC-VOPI has been screening varieties for drought tolerance at an early stage in the screen-house and correlated the results with drought tolerance at later stages of development in rain out shelters



OBJECTIVES



- To subject various sweetpotato cultivars/lines to water stress conditions
- To study the mechanisms of drought tolerance in popular OFSP and cream-fleshed varieties
- To evaluate varieties/lines for drought tolerance, including landraces in the sub-region reputed to be drought tolerant
- To identify effective quick screening methods for drought tolerance using a combination of physiological, morphological and biochemical methods

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Trial establishment

- Rain-out shelters (large)
 - 4 OFSP x 3 reps x 3 water regimes = 36 plots
 - 18 plants/plot (3 ridges, 1.8 m long spaced 0.8 m apart and 0.3m in row spacing)
 - 3 water regimes (100%, 60% & 30%)
 - Winprobe conductance measurements to monitor soil water depletion
 - Irrigation took place once a 40% depletion of plant available soil water (PAW) at 300 mm depth was detected at the 100 % treatment
 - The 60 % and 30 % treatments received only 60 and 30 % respectively of the amount of water supplied to the 100 % treatment

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Trial establishment (cont)

- Small rainout shelter and adjacent field
 - 35 lines/accessions x 2 reps x 2 water regimes
 - Rainout shelter (small) – 30% & 60% stressed treatments
 - Adjacent field - Irrigated at 100% as soon as 40 % of PAW was depleted
 - 5 plants/plot (1.5 m x 1 m ridge)
 - Watered to allow establishment for 2 weeks, after which water stress was introduced

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Trial establishment (cont)



Stressed treatment



Adjacent field trial
(abnormal high seasonal rain)



Drought stress trial in RS1 3
regimes 100% - 30% left to right

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Physiological measurements

- Large rainout shelter
 - The following measurements were taken monthly:
 - photosynthetically active radiation with a ceptometer whereby LAI is calculated
 - Plant growth analysis was done on 3 plants/plot by measuring stem length and branch formation
 - Leaf samples harvested : ± 30 leaves/plot harvested before sunrise for biochemical analysis
 - Leaves frozen at -70°C

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Physiological measurements (cont)

- Small rainout shelter and adjacent field
 - End of Feb and April 2010 same measurements done as in large rain out shelter
 - Chlorophyll content and stomatal conductance were measured on the 5th leaf of a selected plant in each replicate
 - Leaf samples harvested : ± 30 leaves/plot harvested before sunrise

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Agronomical analysis

- Large rainout shelters
 - Harvesting at 5 months after planting: May
 - 4 plants/plot used for the wet/dry mass of top growth
- Small rainout shelters and adjacent field
 - Harvested after 4 months due to late planting: June
 - Wet and dry mass of the top growth were determined for all the plants



MATERIALS AND METHODS

Agronomical analysis

- Roots were harvested from all plants and the storage root yield determined
- Wet/dry mass was also determined on the roots



MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biochemical analysis

- All the leaf material was freeze-dried to prevent decomposition
- Freeze dried material to be used to determine the absence/presence of antioxidants (Malan, *et al.* 1990; Dalton, *et al.* 1986; Elstner & Heupel, 1976)
 - Antioxidants are usually detected in stressed plants



MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biochemical analysis (cont)

- The carbon isotope ratio will also be determined
 - Stressed plants develop a preference for carbon 13 over 12
 - Determination of isotopes – mass spectrometry
 - Department of Archaeology (University of Cape Town)



MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biochemical analysis (cont)

- Presence of abscisic acid
 - Drought stress increases the abscisic acid content in leaves
 - The stomata close – higher concentrations of abscisic acid
 - ELISA (Abscisic Acid Competitive ELISA Assay Kit from Biofords)



MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biochemical analysis (cont)

- Root samples to be homogenized and frozen at -70°C to determine the total carotenoid content
 - Total carotenoid contents were shown to increase under stress condition (Bartoli, *et al.*, 1999)
- A larger sample (20 – 30g) of both leaves and roots will be freeze dried and send to CIP, Lima for NIRS calibration



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Trial is still in initial stage with the following results

- Yield decreased with increased water stress
 - Data is being processed for analysis
- Measurements on morphological and physiological parameters have been taken
 - Data is being processed
- Biochemical analysis have not been done
 - Leaf and root tissue are still being dried
- Samples to CIP for NIRS analysis
 - samples still dried

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Typical root size at 30%
Treatment



Typical root size at 60%
Treatment



Way forward

- Complete all the data analysis
- CIP NIRS measurements – reference data from 50 samples used for the calibration
- Trials to be repeated for the second year from Sept 2010 with the same layout
- All measurements to be taken as in Year 1



Thank you!!!

